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## A. Process

In D ecember of 2008, Schmidt Marketing, Inc. conducted a salary survey of legal marketers in Texas.
The survey link was sent by e-mail to 70 in-house law firm marketing professionals with a coordinator level title or above employed in Texas. We received 52 responses.

## B. Demographics

- The majority of the respondents were from the D allas/ Fort Worth area.

| Location | n | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austin | 14 | $27 \%$ |
| D allas/ Fort Worth | 23 | $44 \%$ |
| Houston | 15 | $29 \%$ |

- When asked with which title respondents most identify, $52 \%$ identified themselves as "Managers."

| Title | $\mathbf{n}$ | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMO | 3 | $6 \%$ |
| Director | 10 | $19 \%$ |
| Manager | 27 | $52 \%$ |
| Specialist | 2 | $4 \%$ |
| Coordinator | 10 | $19 \%$ |

- The largest number of responses came from firms with 151-500 lawyers.

| Firm Size | $\mathbf{n}$ | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Up to 150 | 12 | $23 \%$ |
| $151-500$ | 20 | $38 \%$ |
| $501-1,000$ | 17 | $33 \%$ |
| $>1,000$ | 3 | $6 \%$ |

- The largest number of respondents identified their primary job function as a marketing generalist.

| Function | $\mathbf{n}$ | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Generalist | 14 | $27 \%$ |
| Marketing Communications | 11 | $21 \%$ |
| Business D evelopment | 9 | $17 \%$ |
| Functional Specialist | 9 | $17 \%$ |
| Other | 9 | $17 \%$ |
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- Fifty-eight percent of respondents report their marketing responsibility as firm-wide.

| Responsibility | $\mathbf{n}$ | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm wide | 30 | $58 \%$ |
| One or more offices | 14 | $27 \%$ |
| One or more practice groups | 8 | $15 \%$ |

*Results were combined due to the small number of respondents in each city and the similarities in compensation.
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## C. Base Salaries by City and Title

## Austin

| Title | $\mathbf{n}$ | High Salary | Low Salary | Average <br> Salary | Median <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manager (Business <br> Development, Client <br> Relations and <br> Marketing) | 8 | $\$ 85,000$ | $\$ 45,000$ | $\$ 65,975$ | $\$ 69,900$ |
| Marketing Coordinator | 4 | $\$ 50,000$ | $\$ 38,500$ | $\$ 44,875$ | $\$ 45,500$ |

## Dallas/Fort Worth

| Manager (Business <br> Develppment, Client <br> Relations, <br> Communications and <br> Marketing) | 13 | $\$ 92,000$ | $\$ 56,000$ | $\$ 77,920$ | $\$ 79,500$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketing <br> Coordinator/ Specialist | 5 | $\$ 56,511$ | $\$ 42,000$ | $\$ 52,242$ | $\$ 55,000$ |

## Houston

| Manager(Business <br> Development and <br> Marketing) | 6 | $\$ 95,000$ | $\$ 72,500$ | $\$ 87,167$ | $\$ 87,750$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketing Coordinator | 3 | -- | -- | $\$ 59,367$ | $\$ 53,560$ |

## All Cities*

| CMO | 3 | -- | -- | $\$ 220,333$ | $\$ 204,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Director of Business <br> Development | 2 | -- | -- | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 79,500$ |
| Director of Marketing | 8 | $\$ 200,000$ | $\$ 82,000$ | $\$ 129,313$ | $\$ 122,500$ |
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D. Bonuses by City and Title

## Austin

| Title | $\mathbf{n}$ | High Bonus | Low Bonus | Average <br> Bonus | Median <br> Bonus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manager (Business <br> Development, Client <br> Relations and <br> Marketing) | 8 | $\$ 2,588$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 620$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Marketing Coordinator | 4 | $\$ 2,000$ | $\$ 1,200$ | $\$ 1,625$ | $\$ 1,650$ |

## Dallas/Fort Worth

| Manager (Business <br> Develpment, Client <br> Relations, <br> Communications and <br> Marketing) | 13 | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 750$ | $\$ 4,558$ | $\$ 4,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketing <br> Coordinator/ Specialist | 4 | $\$ 4,600$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,150$ | $\$ 2,000$ |

## Houston

| Manager (Business <br> Development and <br> Marketing) | 6 | $\$ 10,500$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 5,083$ | $\$ 5,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketing Coordinator | 3 | -- | -- | $\$ 1,167$ | $\$ 1,000$ |

## All Cities*

| CMO | 3 | -- | -- | $\$ 37,333$ | $\$ 42,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Director of Business <br> Development | 2 | -- | -- | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 0$ |
| Director of Marketing | 8 | $\$ 30,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 10,938$ | $\$ 8,500$ |

[^2]
## SCHMIDT MARKETING

CitySurvey®
for
TEXAS

## E. Total Compensation by City and Title

## Austin

| Title | $\mathbf{n}$ | High Total <br> Compensation | Low Total <br> Compensation | Average Total <br> Compensation | Median Total <br> Compensation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Manager (Business <br> Development, Client <br> Relations and <br> Marketing) | 7 | $\$ 85,000$ | $\$ 45,000$ | $\$ 67,234$ | $\$ 73,250$ |
| Marketing <br> Coordinator | 3 | $\$ 52,000$ | $\$ 40,500$ | $\$ 46,500$ | $\$ 46,750$ |

## Dallas/Fort Worth

| Manager (Business <br> Development, Client <br> Relations, <br> Communications and <br> Marketing) | 13 | $\$ 100,000$ | $\$ 57,000$ | $\$ 85,112$ | $\$ 90,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketing <br> Coordinator/ Specialist | 4 | $\$ 59,800$ | $\$ 52,500$ | $\$ 56,953$ | $\$ 57,756$ |

## Houston

| Manager (Business <br> Development and <br> Marketing) | 6 | $\$ 106,500$ | $\$ 72,500$ | $\$ 93,833$ | $\$ 97,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Marketing Coordinator | 3 | -- | -- | $\$ 60,533$ | $\$ 54,560$ |

## All Cities*

| CMO | 3 | -- | -- | $\$ 257,667$ | $\$ 224,000$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Director of Business <br> Development | 2 | -- | -- | $\$ 79,500$ | $\$ 79,500$ |
| Director of Marketing | 8 | $\$ 230,000$ | $\$ 83,000$ | $\$ 139,625$ | $\$ 132,500$ |
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