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## A. Process

In D ecember of 2009, Schmidt Marketing, Inc. conducted a salary survey of legal marketers in Texas.
The survey link was sent by e-mail to 71 in-house law firm marketing professionals with a coordinator level title or above employed in Texas. We received 25 responses.

## B. Demographics

- The majority of respondents were from the D allas or Houston areas.

| Location | $\mathbf{n}$ | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Austin | 3 | $12 \%$ |
| Dallas | 11 | $44 \%$ |
| Houston | 11 | $44 \%$ |

- When asked with which title respondents most identify, $60 \%$ identified themselves as "Managers."

| Title | $\mathbf{n}$ | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMO | 3 | $12 \%$ |
| Director | 5 | $20 \%$ |
| Manager | 15 | $60 \%$ |
| Coordinator | 2 | $8 \%$ |

- The largest number of responses (72\%) came from firms with 500 or fewer lawyers.

| Firm Size | $\mathbf{n}$ | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Up to 150 | 9 | $36 \%$ |
| $151-500$ | 9 | $36 \%$ |
| $501-1,000$ | 5 | $20 \%$ |
| $>1,000$ | 2 | $8 \%$ |

- Eighty-eight percent of firms' attorney counts were the same or lower than they were in 2008.

| Firm Size | $\mathbf{n}$ | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Higher | 3 | $12 \%$ |
| About the same | 16 | $64 \%$ |
| Lower | 6 | $24 \%$ |
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- The largest number of respondents (42\%) identified their primary job function as a marketing generalist.

| Function | $\mathbf{n}$ | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Generalist | 10 | $42 \%$ |
| Marketing Communications | 6 | $25 \%$ |
| Business D evelopment | 7 | $29 \%$ |
| Functional Specialist | 1 | $4 \%$ |

- Sixty-four percent of respondents have firm-wide marketing responsibility.

| Responsibility | n | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Firm wide | 16 | $64 \%$ |
| One or more offices | 5 | $20 \%$ |
| O ne or more practice groups | 3 | $12 \%$ |
| Other | 1 | $4 \%$ |
| (office specific and firm-wide) |  |  |

- Of the respondents who received bonuses (92\%), nearly two-thirds received a holiday/ annual firmwide bonus (multiple responses allowed).

| Bonus | $\mathbf{n}$ | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Merit/ Performance | 11 | $48 \%$ |
| Length of service | 3 | $13 \%$ |
| Holiday/Annual firm-wide bonus | 15 | $65 \%$ |

- More than half of the respondents (52\%) report that their salary is currently frozen.

| Raise | n | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Normal raise in 2009 | 7 | $28 \%$ |
| Raise but smaller percentage | 5 | $20 \%$ |
| Salary is frozen | 13 | $52 \%$ |

- Sixty-four percent of respondents anticipated receiving a bonus in 2009.

| Bonus | $\mathbf{n}$ | Percentage of Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Yes | 16 | $64 \%$ |
| No | 7 | $28 \%$ |
| Don't Know | 2 | $8 \%$ |

- No respondents have been asked to reduce their hours.
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## C. Base Salaries by Title

| Title | $\mathbf{n}$ | High Salary | Low Salary | Average <br> Salary | Median <br> Salary |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CM0* | 3 | -- | -- | $\$ 194,667$ | $\$ 204,000$ |
| Director <br> (Business D evelopment, <br> Marketing) | 4 | $\$ 137,000$ | $\$ 70,000$ | $\$ 102,750$ | $\$ 102,000$ |
| Manager <br> (Business D evelopment, <br> Client Relations, <br> Communications and <br> Marketing) | 14 | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 57,000$ | $\$ 83,582$ | $\$ 84,000$ |
| Marketing Coordinator* | 2 | -- | - | $\$ 49,780$ | $\$ 49,780$ |

## Comparisons to 2008 Survey Results:

- CMO average base salaries decreased by 12\% in 2009. Median salaries remained constant.
- Director average base salaries decreased by $14 \%$ in 2009. Median salaries increased by $4 \%$.
- Manager average base salaries increased by $9 \%$ in 2009. Median salaries increased by $5 \%$.
- Coordinator average base and median salaries decreased by $2 \%$ in 2009.
*High/ low compensation data was excluded due to the small number of responses.
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## D. Bonuses by Title

| Title | $\mathbf{n}$ | High Bonus | Low Bonus | Average <br> Bonus | Median <br> Bonus |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CMO* | 3 | -- | -- | $\$ 31,667$ | $\$ 40,000$ |
| Director <br> (Business D evelopment, <br> Marketing) | 4 | $\$ 16,000$ | $\$ 2,500$ | $\$ 6,625$ | $\$ 4,000$ |
| Manager <br> (Business D evelopment, <br> Client Relations, <br> Communications and <br> Marketing) | 14 | $\$ 10,000$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 3,850$ | $\$ 3,000$ |
| Marketing Coordinator* | 2 | -- | - | $\$ 1,075$ | $\$ 1,075$ |

## Comparisons to 2008 Survey Results:

- CMO average bonus dexreased by $15 \%$ in 2009. Median bonus compensation decreased by $14 \%$.
- Director average bonus dereased by $24 \%$. Median bonus compensation decreased by $20 \%$.
- Manager average bonus increased by $9 \%$ and median bonus compensation increased by $14 \%$.
- Coordinator average bonus dereased by 3\% in 2009. Median bonus compensation decreased by $10 \%$.
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## E. Total Compensation by Title

| Title | $\mathbf{n}$ | High <br> Compensation | Low <br> Compensation | Average <br> Compensation | Median <br> Compensation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CM0* | 3 | -- | -- | $\$ 232,667$ | $\$ 233,000$ |
| Director <br> (Business D evelopment, <br> Marketing) | 5 | $\$ 142,000$ | $\$ 72,500$ | $\$ 109,375$ | $\$ 111,500$ |
| Manager <br> (Business D evelopment, <br> Client Relations, <br> Communications and <br> Marketing) | 14 | $\$ 120,000$ | $\$ 59,500$ | $\$ 87,254$ | $\$ 88,450$ |
| Marketing <br> Coordinator* | 2 | -- | - | $\$ 50,855$ | $\$ 50,855$ |

## Comparisons to 2008 Survey Results:

- CMO average total compensation decreased by 10\%. Median total compensation decreased by 4\%.
- Director average total compensation decreased by $14 \%$. However, median total compensation increased by $11 \%$.
- Manager average total compensation increased by 6\%. Median average total compensation increased by $4 \%$.
- Coordinator average total compensation decreased by $6 \%$ and median total compensation decreased by $3 \%$.
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